

REMARKS

Objections to the Oath

The oath and declaration is objected to as being defective. In particular, the Examiner finds that Applicants have only acknowledged the duty to disclose information under 37 C.F.R. 1.56(a) instead of 37 C.F.R. 1.56 in its entirety. Applicants direct the Examiner's attention to the top of the second page of the oath, wherein Applicants acknowledge the duty to disclose information under 37 C.F.R. 1.56 in its entirety. Applicants believe that the Examiner may have overlooked this paragraph of the oath, and respectfully submit that this objection is in fact moot.

Objections to the Specification

The specification is objected to for improper usage of registered trademarks and erroneous inclusion of extra text in the Abstract. Applicants hereby submit a substitute specification correcting all such incorrect usage in accordance with the Examiner's requests.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C §112

Claims 1-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention. Applicants have amended the claims to address the Examiner's rejections.

In particular, "package.ini" and "pushservice.exe" have been deleted from the claims. Usage of registered trademarks in the claims has been amended to comport with the amendments in the substitute specification. Claim 3 has been amended to provide sufficient antecedent basis for "command line."

With regard to the Examiner's perceived lack of clarity in claim 1, Applicants note that this claim was previously amended to make clear that the executable file is installed from a shared resource on a network, and that the executable file controls a low level service available on the remote client. Hence, Applicants submit that the language of the claim is clearly directed to a way of accessing remote service executables stored on a shared resource (and thus under the

control of a remote administrator) to be executed by a remote host as a local low level service, and is not directed to remotely executing (i.e. running) an executable file on a remote host. The claims have been amended to further clarify this by replacing “installing” with “associating.” As detailed in the description (see, e.g. page 4), these low level services are generally available for background local tasks, such as drivers, anti-virus programs, IP protocols, TCP/IP and hard-disk compression mechanisms. The claimed process deviates the normal use of these low level services for the purpose of executing a remote executable file located on a server, and shared therefrom. Once it has been installed, as a service, the executable file can be started (i.e. executed) on the remote host without being present on its hard disk. Applicants thus submit that claim 1, as amended herein, is clear and unambiguous, and respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw this rejection.

With respect to the Examiner’s assertion that usage of the WINDOWS NT® trademark renders the claims indefinite, Applicants have removed all references to this trademark in the claims, as shown on the attached pages.

In view of the above, Applicants submit that the application is now in condition for allowance and respectfully urge the Examiner to pass this case to issue.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required or credit overpayment to deposit account no. 08-2025. In particular, if this response is not timely filed, the Commissioner is authorized to treat this response as including a petition to extend the time period pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) requesting an extension of time of the number of months necessary to make this response timely filed and the petition fee due in connection therewith may be charged to deposit account no. 08-2025.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Post Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on


July 14, 2005
(Date of Transmission)

Mia Kim
(Name of Person Transmitting)


(Signature)

7/14/05
(Date)

Respectfully submitted,



Robert Popa
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 43,010
LADAS & PARRY
5670 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2100
Los Angeles, California 90036
(323) 934-2300 voice
(323) 934-0202 facsimile
rpopa@ladasperry.com